of the recombination with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ as the third body takes place by this mechanism we find that $\sigma_{2}$ lies between 3.6 and $5.5 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}$. Since the recombination probably takes place by both this mechanism and Steiner's the true value of the diameter $\sigma_{2}$ is somewhat smaller.

On the other hand, if we assume $\sigma_{2}$ to be equal to Steiner's $\sigma_{\mathrm{AM}}$, which is not unreasonable, we find that our mechanism will go at a rate three to six times the rate of Steiner's mechanism.

Contribution from the $\quad$ Received March 10, 1932<br>Frick Chemical Laboratory Princeton University<br>Princeton, New Jersey<br>Published June 6, 1932
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# A STUDY OF MOLECULAR ORGANIC COMPOUNDS. IV. ${ }^{1}$ THE MOLECULAR ORGANIC COMPOUNDS OF PHENOL, THEIR PARACHORS AND REFRACTIVITIES ${ }^{2}$ 

By C. A. Buehler, J. H. Wood, D. C. Hull and E. C. Erwin<br>Received November 6, 1931 Published June 6, 1932

The molecular organic compounds of phenol, as a group, represent one of the simplest types. These compounds, although possessing fairly sharp melting points, decompose so readily into the original components that attempts to gain any information as to their structure by chemical methods have been unsuccessful. In the present investigation two physical methods have been applied to the study of the structure of some of these molecular compounds.

In Table I are listed the compounds which have been isolated and studied. Most of these have only previously been shown to exist by their freezing point curves. Worthy of note are the great number of them, the usual molecular ratios of $1: 1$ and $2: 1$ and the fact that the second components all contain amino groups

The parachors as determined, both for some of the components and their molecular compounds, by the formula of Sugden ${ }^{3}$ are given in Tables II and III. Among the components it is to be noted that the observed parachors are lower than the calculated values and with increasing temperature a steady rise occurs much in the same way as with the alcohols and fatty acids. ${ }^{4}$ The same relation exists among the molecular compounds although the temperature coefficients and the differences between
${ }^{1}$ Contribution III, This Journal, 53, 4094 (1931).
${ }^{2}$ Parts of this paper are taken from the Masters' Theses presented by David C. Hull and E. C. Erwin at the University of Tennessee.
${ }^{3}$ Sugden, "The Parachor and Valency," George Routledge and Sons, Ltd., London, 1930, p. 30.
${ }^{4}$ Sugden, Ref. 3, p. 167.
the observed and calculated values are greater here. It is likely that we are dealing in both cases with association, the amount of which becomes less and less with an increase in temperature.

It is not possible, at present, by any known method to find the degree of association at any one temperature. However, the differences between the observed and calculated values of the parachors for the molecular compounds, with decreasing temperature, do approach a maximum negative value. According to Sugden ${ }^{5}$ such a negative value is due to a bond consisting either of a pair of electrons (the semi-polar double bond) or of a single electron. Although the latter is used freely by Sugden ${ }^{5}$ it finds less favor in other quarters. ${ }^{6}$ We are discarding it as a possibility since the stability of the compounds concerned does not seem to be of a sufficiently low order to warrant such a type of union. There remains the semipolar double bond which (excluding ring formation, which does not seem likely due to the fact that an unstable four-membered ring would result) may account for the type of formula recently advanced $:^{7}$


From Sidgwick's ${ }^{8}$ work among the ortho substituted phenols it appears that the semipolar bond above has the usual value of -1.6 plus a value of -12.8 due to the rise in covalency of the hydrogen from 1 to 2 . The total value, -14.4 , as Table III shows, may in general be considered as the maximum for the differences between the observed and calculated parachors and the formula above may, therefore, be considered as the most probable for the molecular compounds of phenol.

The refractive indices for the components and their molecular compounds are shown in Table IV and the molecular refractions as obtained by the Lorenz and Lorentz formula are given in Table V. A comparison of the observed and calculated molecuiar refractions at $20^{\circ}$ shows in general, in the case of the components, some optical exaltation, while with the molecular compounds optical depression is usually exhibited. In either case the optical exaltation for any amine is greater than that for the molecular compound which it forms with phenol. Although this reduction in refraction was originally attributed ${ }^{9}$ to an increase in the valence of the nitrogen of the amine it may be considered, by the more modern interpreta-

[^0]tion of Smyth, ${ }^{10}$ as being due to the attachment of the hydrogen of the phenol to the nitrogen of the amine as proposed in the formula above. In Table V these differences are shown in the last column, which is really a comparison of the differences between the observed and calculated molecular refractions of the molecular compounds with the sum of the like differences of the two components. ${ }^{11}$ It is obvious that if the mixture law were true these values would all be zero. Since they are all negative in value they may be interpreted in terms of a union between the two molecules. The differences, which are approaching zero with increasing temperature, are small doubtless due (1) to the partial dissociation of the molecular complex and (2) as Smyth has suggested to the counterbalancing effect produced by the weakening of the forces which the hydrogen exerts on the oxygen of the phenol.

## Experimental Part

The preparation, purification and analysis of the molecular compounds were accomplished in the main from the second components and by the methods already described. In doubtful cases freezing point curves were constructed.

Purification of Components.-The components concerned either as individuals or otherwise in the parachor and refractivity determinations were specially purified as stated below.

Phenol.-Method of Sidgwick and Bayliss; ${ }^{12} \mathrm{~m}$. p. (corr.) $40.5^{\circ}$.
Aniline.-The commercial product, distilled twice, was converted into acetanilide which was crystallized three times from water containing a little acetic acid. This solid was hydrolyzed, the aniline obtained being treated with acetone to remove thiophene by the method of Hantzsch and Freese. ${ }^{13}$ The amine was finally recovered from the hydrochloride by treatment with sodium carbonate solution and, after being dried over solid potassium hydroxide and fractionally distilled twice, it boiled at $184.3^{\circ}$ (corr.).
$o$ - and $m$-Toluidines.-In general, by the method of Berliner and May; ${ }^{14}$ b. p.'s o- $199.3^{\circ}$ (corr.), $m-203.0^{\circ}$ (corr.).
p-Toluidine.-In general, by the method of Gans and Harkins; ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~m}$. p. $43.4^{\circ}$ (corr.).
4-Amino-1,3-dimethylbenzene.-The c. P. product, first distilled, was converted into the hydrochloride, which was fractionally crystallized twice from absolute alcohol

[^1]and then twice from water. The product thus obtained was treated with sodium carbonate solution to free the xylidine which was dried over solid potassium hydroxide and then fractionally distilled twice under reduced pressure; b. p. $214.3^{\circ}$ (corr.).

Physical Measurements.-Surface tensions were determined by the maximum bubble pressure method of Sugden. ${ }^{16}$ The bubbler was immersed in a constant temperature bath and readings of the manometer level were made with a cathetometer. Highly purified benzene was used in the determination of the diameter of the larger bubbler tube after the diameter of the smaller one had been measured by a filar micrometer.

Densities of the liquids were determined with an ordinary $10-\mathrm{cc}$. pyenometer; the densities of the vapors at the temperatures employed were negligible and were, therefore, undetermined.

The refractivity measurements at 45,60 and $80^{\circ}$ were made by a Pulfrich refractometer.

Table I Molecular Compounds of Phenol

| Second component | $\underset{\text { (corr.) }}{\text { M. p. }}$ | (r.) | Color | Mol. ratio | Calcd, | $\text { N. } \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aniline | 32.6 | 3 | Colorless | $1:$ | 7.48 | 7.64 | 7.5 |
| o-Toluidine | 35.6 | $34^{\text {b }}$ | White | 1: | 6.96 | 6.93 | . 78 |
| $m$-Toluidine | $-7^{\text {c }}$ |  | Color | 1:1 | 6.96 | 6.78 | 6.78 |
| $p$-Toluidine | 33.0 | $28.5{ }^{\text {d }}$ | Colorless | 1:1 | 6.96 | 6.97 | . 88 |
| 4-Amino-1,3-dimethy benzene | $16^{\circ}$ | $16^{6}$ | White | 1: | 6.51 | 6.37 | 6.41 |
| $\alpha$-Naphthylamine | 29.1 | $28.8{ }^{\text {d }}$ | Purple | 1:1 | 5.90 | 5.70 | . 9 |
| $\beta$-Naphthylamine | 84 | $83.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ | White | 1 | 5.90 | 5.96 | 5.70 |
| Benzidine | 128 |  | Light | 1:1 | 10.07 | 0.25 | 10.27 |
| $o$-Phenylenediamine | 44.2 | $43.5{ }^{\text {e }}$ | Brown | 1:1 | 13.85 | 13.98 | 14.08 |
| o-Phenylenediamine | 29.7 | $29.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Colorless | 4:1 | 5.78 | 5.63 | 5.6 |
| $m$-Phenylenediamine | 58.0 | $52.6{ }^{6}$ | Gray | 3 | 11.23 | 1.09 | 11.11 |
| p-Phenylenediamine | 98. |  | Reddishbrown | 1.1 | 13.85 | 13.87 | . 91 |
| p-Phenylenediamine | 106.5 | $105^{\circ}$ | Dark brown | 2:1 | 9.45 | 9.61 | 9.38 |
| Urea | 61.5 | $60.4{ }^{\text {d }}$ | White | 2: | 10.07 | 10.09 | 10.2 |
| Acetamide | 42.9 | $40.8{ }^{\prime}$ | White | 2:1 | 5.66 | 5.59 | 5.6 |
| Acetamide-wa | 42.1 |  | Pink | 2:1: | 5.28 | 5.26 | 5.1 |
| Benzamide | $31.0^{\text {c }}$ | $23.5{ }^{\text {f }}$ | White | 2:1 | 4.53 | 4.48 | 4.62 |
| Diethylamine | $12^{\text {c }}$ |  | Pink | 1:1 | 8.37 | 8.27 | 8. 23 |
| Pyridine | $-19^{\text {c }}$ | $-10^{\circ}$ | White | 1:1 | $54.39^{h}$ | 54.46 | 54 |
| Pyridine | $4^{\text {c }}$ | $5^{\circ}$ | White | 2 : | $70.41^{h}$ | 70.62 | 70.3 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Melting point of the compound first obtained by Hübner, $A n n ., 210,342$ (1881). <br> ${ }^{b}$ Kremann, Monatsh., 27, 91 (1906). <br> ${ }^{c}$ Freezing point, $\pm 1^{\circ}$ accuracy. <br> ${ }^{d}$ Philip, J. Chem. Soc., 83, 814 (1903). <br> - Kremann and Petritschek, Monatsh., 38, 405 (1917). <br> ${ }^{f}$ Kremann and Wenzig, ibid., 38, 479 (1917). <br> ${ }^{\circ}$ Bramley, J. Chem. Soc., 109, 474 (1916). <br> ${ }^{h}$ Percentage of phenol; determined by titration of tribromophenol with alkali. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]Table II
Densities, Surface Tensions and Parachors of Components

| Compounds | SURFA | Tensio | AND P | RS OF | OMPONE | $\underset{P}{P} \text { (cobs.) } \text { (calcd.) }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{\text {Temp. }}$ |  | $\gamma$ | $P$ (obs.) | $P$ (calcd.) |  |
| Phenol | 50 | 1.0499 | 37.74 | $222.0{ }^{17}$ | 227.1 | $-5.1$ |
|  | 70 | 1.0328 | 35.45 | 222.2 |  | -4.9 |
|  | 90 | 1.0150 | 33.35 | 222.7 |  | -4.4 |
|  | 120 | 0.9885 | 30.18 | 223.0 |  | -4.1 |
|  | 150 | . 9588 | 26.77 | 223.1 |  | -4.0 |
| Aniline | 50 | . 9957 | 40.10 | 235.2 | 236.7 | $-1.5$ |
|  | 70 | . 9780 | 37.63 | 235.7 |  | $-1.0$ |
|  | 90 | . 9602 | 35.22 | 236.1 |  | -0.6 |
|  | 120 | . 9338 | 31.60 | 236.3 |  | -0.4 |
|  | 150 | . 9052 | 28.07 | 236.6 |  | $-0.1$ |
| $o$-Toluidine | 50 | . 9743 | 37.49 | 272.0 | 275.7 | -3.7 |
|  | 70 | . 9575 | 35.18 | 272.4 |  | -3.3 |
|  | 90 | . 9405 | 32.92 | 272.7 |  | -3.0 |
|  | 120 | . 9152 | 29.70 | 273.1 |  | -2.6 |
|  | 150 | . 8890 | 26.52 | 273.3 |  | -2.4 |
| $m$-Toluidine | 25 | . 9851 | 37.73 | 269.4 | 275.7 | -6.3 |
|  | 50 | . 9653 | 35.62 | 271.0 |  | $-4.7$ |
|  | 70 | . 9490 | 33.40 | 271.3 |  | -4.4 |
|  | 90 | . 9331 | 31.59 | 272.1 |  | -3.6 |
|  | 120 | . 9068 | 28.61 | 273.1 |  | -2.6 |
|  | 150 | . 8811 | 25.58 | 273.3 |  | -2.4 |
| $p$-Toluidine | 50 | . 9619 | 34.88 | 270.5 | 275.7 | $-5.2$ |
|  | 70 | . 9444 | 32.80 | 271.3 |  | -4.4 |
|  | 90 | . 9276 | 30.89 | 272.2 |  | $-3.5$ |
|  | 120 | . 9020 | 28.16 | 273.5 |  | -2.2 |
| 4-Amino-1,3-dimethylbenzene | 25 | . 9723 | 36.75 | 307.2 | 314.7 | $-7.5$ |
|  | 50 | . 9520 | 34.46 | 308.2 |  | $-6.5$ |
|  | 70 | . 9355 | 32.38 | 308.8 |  | $-5.9$ |
|  | 90 | . 9197 | 30.39 | 309.1 |  | -5.6 |
|  | 120 | . 8950 | 27.31 | 309.3 |  | $-5.4$ |
|  | 150 | . 8695 | 24.45 | 309.7 |  | $-5.0$ |

Table III


[^3]Table III (Concluded)

| Compounds | Temp., | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\gamma$ | $P$ (obs.) | $P$ (calcd.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $P_{P} \underset{(\text { cabsed.) }}{(\text { (cas. })}-$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phenol $\cdot m$-toluidine | 25 | 1.0292 | 38.93 | 488.1 | 502.8 | -14.7 |
|  | 50 | 1.0090 | 36.67 | 490.6 |  | -12.2 |
|  | 70 | 0.9918 | 34.49 | 491.5 |  | -11.3 |
|  | 90 | . 9748 | 32.71 | 493.4 |  | - 9.4 |
|  | 120 | . 9485 | 29.74 | 495.2 |  | - 7.6 |
|  | 150 | . 9204 | 26.72 | 496.8 |  | - 6.0 |
| Phenol $\cdot p$-toluidine | 50 | 1.0084 | 36.18 | 489.1 | 502.8 | -13.7 |
|  | 70 | 0.9911 | 34.11 | 490.5 |  | -12.3 |
|  | 90 | . 9733 | 32.18 | 492.2 |  | -10.6 |
|  | 120 | . 9468 | 29.29 | 494.4 |  | - 8.4 |
| Phenol-4-amino-1,3-dimethylbenzene | 25 | 1.0190 | 38.15 | 524.7 | 541.8 | -17.1 |
|  | 50 | 0.9997 | 35.76 | 526.3 |  | $-15.5$ |
|  | 70 | . 9826 | 33.65 | 527.4 |  | -14.4 |
|  | 90 | . 9654 | 31.67 | 528.7 |  | -13.1 |
|  | 120 | . 9391 | 28.73 | 530.4 |  | -11.4 |
|  | 150 | . 9115 | 25.97 | 532.8 |  | - 9.0 |


${ }^{a}$ Mean of the temperature coefficients of the $D, H \alpha$ and $H \beta$ lines.

## Table V

Variation of Molecular Refraction with Temperature
Observed values at $20^{\circ}$ calculated by use of the two temperature coefficients

| Temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | $M R_{\text {D }}$ (obs.) | $E M \mathrm{D}^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | $M R_{\text {D }}$ (obs.) | $E M \mathrm{D}^{\text {d }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} E M R_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{C} .) \\ E M R_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)^{b} \end{gathered}-$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Phenol |  | Phenol-aniline |  |  |  |
| 20 | 27.91 | 0.09 | 20 | 58.07 | -0.38 | -0.40 |
| 45 | 28.00 | . 17 | 45 | 58.27 | -. 18 | -. 39 |
| 60 | 28.06 | . 23 | 60 | 58.47 | . 02 | -. 31 |
| 80 | 28.11 | . 28 | 80 | 58.63 | . 18 | -. 27 |

Table V (Concluded)

| Temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |  |  |  | ( | $E M R_{\text {D }}(\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{C})-$. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $M R_{\text {D }}$ (obs.) | $E M \mathrm{R}^{\text {d }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | $M R_{\text {D }}$ (obs.) | $E M R_{D^{\prime}}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $E M R_{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right)^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | Aniline | Phenol-o-toluidine |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 30.55 | -. 07 | 20 | 62.83 | - . 24 | -. 37 |
| 45 | 30.66 | . 04 | 45 | 63.11 | . 04 | - . 28 |
| 60 | 30.72 | . 10 | 60 | 63.29 | 22 | - . 21 |
| 80 | 30.79 | . 17 | 80 | 63.45 | . 38 | - . 20 |
| 0 -Toluidine |  |  | Phenol $m$-toluidine |  |  |  |
| 20 | 35.28 | . 04 | 20 | 63.03 | - . 04 | - . 27 |
| 45 | 35.39 | . 15 | 45 | 63.26 | . 19 | $-.25$ |
| 60 | 35.44 | . 20 | 60 | 63.44 | . 37 | -. 21 |
| 80 | 35.54 | . 30 | 80 | 63.59 | . 52 | -. 18 |
| $m$-Toluidine |  |  | Phenol $p$-toluidine |  |  |  |
| 20 | 35.38 | . 14 | 20 | 63.00 | -. 07 | -. 30 |
| 45 | 35.51 | . 27 | 45 | 63.26 | . 19 | -. 26 |
| 60 | 35.59 | . 35 | 60 | 63.44 | . 37 | -. 21 |
| 80 | 35.66 | . 42 | 80 | 63.59 | . 52 | -. 23 |
| $p$-Toluidine |  |  | Phenol-4-amino-1,3-dimethylbenzene |  |  |  |
| 20 | 35.38 | . 14 | 20 | 67.76 | . 07 | $-.21$ |
| 45 | 35.52 | . 28 | 45 | 68.00 | . 31 | -. 19 |
| 60 | 35.59 | . 35 | 60 | 68.19 | . 50 | -. 13 |
| 80 | 35.71 | . 47 | 80 | 68.36 | . 67 | -. 12 |


| 4-Amino-1,3-dimethylbenzene |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 40.05 | .19 |
| 45 | 40.19 | .33 |
| 60 | 40.26 | .40 |
| 80 | 40.37 | .51 |

${ }^{a} E M R_{\mathrm{D}}=M R_{\mathrm{D}}$ (obs.) $-M R_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}$ (calcd.). The atomic refractions used are the revised values of Eisenlohr, Z. physik. Chem., 75, 605 (1911); 79, 134 (1912). Similar calculated molecular refractions may be obtained by the refractions of the electron groups as given by Smyth, Ref. 10, p. 152. In the latter case 6.02 is used for the aromatic group, $\stackrel{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{H}}>\mathrm{N}$ :.
${ }^{b} E M R_{\mathrm{D}}$ (M. C.) - $\dot{E} M R_{\mathrm{D}}$ (C's) is the difference between the observed and calculated molecular refractions of the molecular compounds minus the sum of the like differences for the components.

## Summary

New molecular compounds of phenol have been isolated.
The densities, surface tensions and refractivities of certain of these compounds over a range of temperature have been determined.
The parachors and molecular refractions both increase with increase in temperature.
A comparison between the observed and calculated values for the parachors and molecular refractions indicates that the bond between the components in the molecular compounds has a negative value.

An electronic formula, based upon these determinations, has been proposed.

Knoxville, Tennessee

[Contribution from East London College, University of London]

# THE PINACOL-PINACOLONE REARRANGEMENT. THE EXAMINATION OF SOME ORTHO-SUBSTITUTED BEN ZOPINACOLS 

By Colin H. Beale and Harold H. Hatt<br>Received November 13, 1931<br>Published June 6, 1932

It is generally recognized that the values for the relative migratory powers of aromatic hydrocarbon radicals in the pinacol-pinacolone rearrangement are least liable to error when they are obtained from studies of the rearrangement of tetraarylpinacols, for the reason that in such cases there is the least possibility of the end products of the reaction arising in any other way than by a direct pinacol-pinacolone change. In this connection $o$-substituted benzopinacols have been but little examined; of the simple compounds of this class only sym. $-2,2^{\prime}$-dichlorobenzopinacol and sym.-2,2'-dibromobenzopinacol have been at all fully investigated. ${ }^{1}$ These pinacols rearranged slowly and the resulting pinacolones were very resistant to attack by alcoholic potash so that a complete decomposition thereby into the corresponding triarylmethanes and aromatic acids was not effected, but it was concluded that in the rearrangements only the unsubstituted phenyl group underwent migration.

Recently Bailar ${ }^{2}$ stated that sym.-2, $2^{\prime}$-dimethylbenzopinacol and sym.-$2,2^{\prime}$-dimethoxybenzopinacol could not be rearranged either by iodine and acetic acid, or by acetyl chloride and acetic acid, prolonged treatment with the latter reagent giving rise to unidentifiable oils. He considered these results to be in good agreement with the theoretical conclusions of Lagrave. ${ }^{3}$ Bailar overlooked the fact that the rearrangement of sym.-2,2'dimethylbenzopinacol had already been described ${ }^{4}$ and that of the phenyl and $o$-tolyl groups the former had been shown to migrate the more readily. ${ }^{5}$

We therefore decided to repeat the rearrangement of $s y m .-2,2^{\prime}$-dimethylbenzopinacol ( I ), determining the relative migratory powers of the phenyl
${ }^{1}$ Koopal, Rec. trav. chim., 34, 115 (1915).
${ }^{2}$ Bailar, This Journal, 52, 3596 (1930).
${ }^{3}$ Lagrave, Ann. chim., 10, VIII, 363 (1927).
${ }^{4}$ Hatt, J. Chem. Soc., 1623 (1929).
5 Of the ten pinacols described by Bailar as new, two were already known: sym. 2,2'-dimethylbenzopinacol [W. D. Cohen, Rec. trav. chim., 38, 123 (1919); Boyd and Hatt, J. Chem. Soc., 898 (1927)] and sym.-2,2'-dimethoxybenzopinacol [Cohen, Rec. trav. chim., 38, 123 (1919), and 39, 258 (1920)].


[^0]:    ${ }^{5}$ Sugden, Ref. 3, p. 131.
    ${ }^{6}$ Sidgwick and Bayliss, J. Chem. Soc., 2033 (1930); Pauling, This Journal, 53, 3229 (1931).
    ${ }^{7}$ Buehler, Alexander and Stratton, ibid., 53, 4094 (1931).
    ${ }^{8}$ Sidgwick and Bayliss, Ref. 6, p. 2032.
    ${ }^{9}$ Eisenlohr, Ber., 44, 3188 (1911).

[^1]:    ${ }^{10}$ Smyth, "Dielectric Constant and Molecular Structure," The Chemical Catalog Co., Inc., New York, 1931, p. 160.
    ${ }^{11}$ This method of comparison seemed advisable because of the unreliability of the atomic refractions at temperatures above $20^{\circ}$ as well as that of nitrogen at $20^{\circ}$. This latter value varies so much even among aromatic primary amines that the mean loses much of its significance. In contrast to the parachor discussion the differences between the observed and calculated values of the components are attributed to the difficulty with the atomic refractions and not to association.
    ${ }^{12}$ Sidgwick and Bayliss, Ref. 6, p. 2027.
    ${ }^{13}$ Hantzsch and Freese, Ber., 27, 2966 (1894).
    ${ }^{14}$ Berliner and May, This Journal, 49, 1008 (1927).
    ${ }^{15}$ Gans and Harkins, ibid., 52, 2292 (1930).

[^2]:    ${ }^{16}$ Sugden, Ref. 3, p. 208.

[^3]:    ${ }^{17}$ Sidgwick and Bayliss' values, Ref. 6, p. 2031, vary from 222.3 at $49.6^{\circ}$ to 224.8 at $147.5^{\circ}$.

